

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,697	03/30/2004	Xiaolei Shi	140264	7228
	7590 11/29/200 ECTRIC COMPANY	EXAMINER		
GLOBAL RESEARCH			VERDERAME, ANNA L	
PATENT DOCKET RM. BLDG. K1-4A59 NISKAYUNA, NY 12309		9	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			11/29/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ldocket@crd.ge.com rosssr@crd.ge.com parkskl@crd.ge.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/814,697	SHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Anna L. Verderame	1795				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 S	eptember 2007.					
,	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 13-30 is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-30</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	L C					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 March 2004</u> is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected t	o by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document		ion No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)		· (DTO 440)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Linterview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application				

Response to Amendment

The examiner has carefully considered the amendment received on 09/08/2007. The examiner acknowledges applicant's affirmation of the election of group 1 claims 1-30 and the withdrawal of traverse. A response to the amendment is presented below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hwang et al. 2004/0161575 as evidenced by Arnone et al. WO/00/75641(6,828,558 used as a translation).

Hwang et al. claims a high-density optical disk comprising a substrate with pits (data layer and supporting substrate) and at least one mask layer with a super resolution near-field structure wherein at least one mask layer comprises a mixture of a dielectric material and metal particles. Claim two recites the suitable dielectric materials as being a metal oxide, nitride, sulfide, fluoride, or mixture thereof. Applicant discloses

that the metal particles may be gold particles. Figure 3 shows that the invention of this application results in improves C/N (dB) ratio when recording smaller marks as compared to the prior art. The mask layer, containing metal particles dispersed in a dielectric material, acts as an aperture for near field light due to self-focusing effect. Therefore fine marks with a size of, for example, 100 nm or less can be read using a laser with a wavelength of, for example 680 nm. Metal particles have a size smaller that a wavelength of a laser beam (0029). Dielectric materials used in the masking layer 11 include any of SiO₂, Al₂O₃, Si₃N₄, SiN, ZnS, and MgF₂ (0030). Metal particles to be dispersed in the masking layer include gold, platinum, rhodium, and palladium (0030). The application also discusses the prior use of silver oxide super resolution films (0013). This is also discussed in the applicants Background section at (0007). An optical recording medium having a large capacity can be obtained without decreasing the wavelength of the laser diode (0014).

Since the claims are to the combination of a mask layer with a data layer, and not to a **photosensitive data layer**, the art anticipates the claims.

Hwang et al. does not explicitly state that the dielectric materials used in the masking layer are non-linear optical materials.

Claim 10, of Arnone et al. WO 00/75641(6,828,558 used as a translation), recites a material with non-linear optical properties chosen from a group including ZnS. The teachings of this reference are used solely to establish that the dielectric materials recited by Hwang et al. inherently exhibit non-linear optical properties.

Art Unit: 1795

The applicant's disclosure regarding acceptable materials for use as the non-

linear optical material of the masking layer is extremely vague. Disclosure of specific

materials would help to make the specification clearer and would have helped in the

examination of this application. However, addition of such specific materials would be

considered new matter.

3. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nomura et

al., "Super-resolution read only memory disk with metal nanoparticles or small

aperture", Japanese Journal of Applied Physics. Pt 1. vol. 41(3B) pp. 1876-

1879(March/2002) as evidenced by Yamamoto et al. 2003/0152739.

A polycarbonate disk with pits having a depth of 50 nm and lengths of 0.2-0.4

microns is provided with a reflective layer, followed by either Gr-1 (Ag particles are 5 nm

in silicon dioxide) or Gr-2, where the Ag particles are 10 nm in silicon dioxide) over

coated with a dielectric to prevent GR layer from mixing with the UV-curable resin layer

(section 2.3 and section 2.1). Fig 1. shows that the change in refractive index of the film

is dependent upon the size of the metal particles and the density of the metal particles

in the film(section 2.1).

Since the claims are to the combination of a mask layer with a data layer(pits in

this reference), and not to a **photosensitive data layer**, the art anticipates the claims.

Nomura et al. does not explicitly state that SiO₂ is a non-linear optical material.

Claim 5 of Yamamoto et al. teaches a non-linear optical film containing SiO₂. The

teachings of this reference are used solely to establish that SiO₂ recited by Nomura et

al. inherently exhibits non-linear optical properties. Further, applicant has the burden, if in disagreement, to show that a SiO₂ does not exhibit non-linear optical properties.

4. Claims 13-15, 20, and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nomura et al. JP2002-133720 as evidenced by Yamamoto et al. 2003/0152739.

Nomura et al. teaches an optical recording medium as shown in figure one where on the light-transmitting polycarbonate substrate 2 there is formed, a first dielectric film 4 of ZnS-SiO₂ having a thickness of 75 nm, a AgInSbTe phase change recording layer having a thickness of 20 nm, a second dielectric film 8 of ZnS-SiO₂ having a thickness of 10 nm, a mask layer comprising a dielectric material (SiO₂) 10A and metal particles (Ag) 10B, a reflective layer 10, and a protective layer 12(0012-0013). Nomura et al teaches a near field super resolution layer in a phase change optical recording medium. This layer is a dielectric layer, including SiO₂, ZnS-SiO₂, Al₂O₃, and SiN with metal particles, such as Au, Ag, or Al dispersed therein (0007). In super-resolution films of the prior art it was difficult to control the size of the metal particle. This problem is solved by the present invention(0005). This invention allows for recognizing the minute record mark below a diffraction limitation and reproducing information (0006).

Nomura et al. does not explicitly state that SiO₂ ZnS-SiO₂, Al₂O₃, and SiN are non-linear optical materials.

Claim 5 of Yamamoto et al. teaches a non-linear optical film containing SiO₂. The teachings of this reference are used solely to establish that SiO₂ recited by Nomura et

al. inherently exhibits non-linear optical properties. Further, applicant has the burden, if in disagreement, to show that a SiO₂ does not exhibit non-linear optical properties.

5. Claims 13,19-20, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by lida EP 0 580 346.

lida teaches a high density optical disk 2, shown in figure 3, consisting of a substrate 13, a shutter layer 17 formed on the substrate, and a recording film 18 formed on the shutter layer. Recording pits are formed on the recording layer by shining light through the substrate and the shutter layer and onto the recording layer. The shutter layer 17 tightens the irradiated beam for information reproduction or recording allowing for a high-density medium. The shutter layer comprises semiconductor fine particles in a glass or resin matrix. The particle size of the semiconductor fine particles is from 0.1 to 50 nm and preferably from 0.5 to 30 nm(nanoparticles). Therefore the semiconductor fine particles are nanoparticles. Resins such as polymethyl methacrylates, poly carbonates, polystyrenes, amorphous polyolefins, and epoxy resins can be used(claim 22). The particle density affects the properties of the shutter layer and should be at least 1 mol% and should not exceed 80 mol %(3/11-41). The recording layer may be a thin film of an organic dyes such as cyanine or phthalocyanine(claim 19). Function of the shutter layer is disclosed at (4/14-26). The wavelength of the light beam for information reading or writing in the optical disk 310 to 890 nm and the composition of the shutter layer is chosen in accordance with the wavelength actually employed.

The bolded portion shows that the shutter layer comprises metal particles embedded in a **glass** or resin matrix. Resins include polymethyl methacrylates, polycarbonates, polystyrenes, amorphous polyolefins, and epoxy resins.

The applicant broadly recites the use of organic materials as the non-linear optical material of the masking layer. Applicant has the burden, if in disagreement, to show that a the organic resins recited by lida do not exhibit non-linear optical properties. The applicant's disclosure regarding acceptable materials for use as the non-linear optical material of the masking layer is extremely vague. Disclosure of specific materials would help to make the specification clearer and would have helped in the examination of this application. However, addition of such specific materials would be considered new matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 13,16, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hsu et al. 2002/015496 in view of any of Hwang et al. 2004/0161575, Nomura et al. 2002-133720, or Nomura et al., "Super-resolution read only memory disk with metal nanoparticles or small aperture", Japanese Journal of Applied Physics. Pt 1. vol. 41(3B) pp. 1876-1879(March/2002).

Art Unit: 1795

Hsu et al. teaches a super-resolution recordable optical disk, as shown in Figs. 6A and B, formed on a substrate 1 made from polycarbonate substrate. On the substrate 1, a reflective layer 3 made of Au, Ag, Al, Cu or their alloys is formed to a thickness of between 70-160 nm. An organic dye layer 22 is formed by spin coating on the metal reflective layer. An interface layer 53 is formed from SiN_x, SiO₂, or ZnS-SiO₂ is formed on the dye-recording layer. Then a mask layer 52 made from Antimony, silver oxide, or thermochromic organic compounds was formed on the interference layer. Finally, a dielectric layer 51 and a thin polycarbonate layer 42 is formed on the surface of the mask layer (0026-0027).

Hsu et al. does not teach a mask layer comprising nanoparticles embedded in a non-linear optical material.

Hwang et al. 2004/0161575, Nomura et al. 2002-133720, and Nomura et al., "Super-resolution read only memory disk with metal nanoparticles or small aperture", Japanese Journal of Applied Physics. Pt 1. vol. 41(3B) pp. 1876-1879(March/2002) all teach a mask layer containing nanoparticles embedded in a non-linear optical material. Silver oxide is a non-linear optical material.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the super-resolution recordable optical disk taught by Hsu et al. by using the mask layers taught by any of Hwang et al. 2004/0161575, Nomura et al. 2002-133720, or Nomura et al., "Super-resolution read only memory disk with metal nanoparticles or small aperture", Japanese Journal of Applied Physics. Pt 1. vol. 41(3B) pp. 1876-1879(March/2002),

Art Unit: 1795

comprising metal nanoparticles embedded in a non-linear optical material with the reasonable expectation of forming an optical recording medium in which the size of the particles in the mask layer can be controlled [Nomura et al. 2002-133720 (0005)] and in which capable of high-density recording below the diffraction limit (Nomura et al., "Super-resolution read only memory disk with metal nanoparticles or small aperture", Japanese Journal of Applied Physics. Pt 1. vol. 41(3B) pp. 1876-1879(March/2002) and Hwang et al. 2004/0161575).

8. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Hsu et al. 2002/015496 in view of any of Hwang et al. 2004/0161575, Nomura et al. 2002-133720, or Nomura et al., "Super-resolution read only memory disk with metal nanoparticles or small aperture", Japanese Journal of Applied Physics. Pt 1. vol. 41(3B) pp. 1876-1879(March/2002) further in view of Fujii et al., "A near-field recording and readout technology using a metallic probe in an optical disk" Japanese Journal of Applied Physics Vol. 39 (2000) pp.980-981

The combination of Hsu et al. 2002/015496 in view of any of Hwang et al. 2004/0161575, Nomura et al. 2002-133720, or Nomura et al., "Super-resolution read only memory disk with metal nanoparticles or small aperture", Japanese Journal of Applied Physics. Pt 1. vol. 41(3B) pp. 1876-1879(March/2002) does not teach an optical disk comprising a data layer, mask layer overlying the data layer and comprising a nonlinear optical material and nanoparticles embedded in the nonlinear optical material where in the data layer comprises Ge₂Sb₂Te₅.

Art Unit: 1795

Fujii et al. teaches an optical disk having the structure shown in figure one where the data layer is a $Ge_2Sb_2Te_5$ phase-change layer and the mask layer is made of AgO_x , a material conventionally used in the prior art.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the optical recording medium taught by The combination of Hsu et al. 2002/015496 in view of any of Hwang et al. 2004/0161575, Nomura et al. 2002-133720, or Nomura et al., "Super-resolution read only memory disk with metal nanoparticles or small aperture", Japanese Journal of Applied Physics. Pt 1. vol. 41(3B) pp. 1876-1879(March/2002) by using a Ge₂Sb₂Te₅ phase-change layer as the data layer based on the use of a Ge₂Sb₂Te₅ phase-change layer with the AgO_x mask layer, a material conventionally used in the prior art, with the reasonable expectation of forming a useful optical recording medium.

9. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lida EP 0 580 346 in view of Kim et al WO/2004/029936 .

Lida teaches a high-density optical recording medium comprising a "shutter layer"

(mask layer) of a glass(including SiO₂) or resin material having nano particles

embedded therein. Non-linearity is taught at (4/25-26). However, lida does not teach

the use of Sb as the non-linear material.

Kim et al. teaches an optical recording medium as shown in figure 1. When **Sb** is used as the **non-linear optical material** of the mask layer it becomes transparent(2/21-

Art Unit: 1795

22). The mask layer can also be **silicon dioxide** (abstract). Kim et al. essentially teaches that both Sb and SiO₂ are non-linear optical materials.

It would have been obvious to modify the "shutter layer" (mask layer), comprising SiO₂(a non-linear optical material) with nanoparticles embedded therein taught by Lida by substituting Sb for SiO₂ based on the use of Sb in the mask layer taught by Kim et al. and based on disclosure that both SiO₂ and Sb are non-linear optical materials by Kim et al. and with the reasonable expectation of forming a useful shutter layer comprising a non-linear optical material having nanoparticles embedded therein.

10. Claims 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nomura et al. 2002-133720 as evidenced by Yamamoto et al. 2003/0152739 in view of Sonnichsen et al. "Drastic Reduction of Plasmon Damping in Gold Nanorods" Physical Review Letters. Volume 88, Number 7, 2002 and

Nomura et al. does not teach the use of nanoparticles in a mask layer where in nanoparticles comprise rods or shells wherein the rods have widths of about 20 nm and lengths of about 50 nm.

Sonnichsen teaches the scattering of light by gold nanoparticles including gold nanorods having lengths up to 100 nm and diameters of 20-150 nm. Figure 3 shows that scattering by gold nanorods produces a spectrum having a narrower line width than the light scattering spectrum formed by gold nanospheres. Use of nanorods results in high light scattering efficiencies and large local field enhancement factors, making nanorods interesting for a range of optical applications.

In regard to claim 26 the teaching that the nanorods have lengths of less than 100 nm meets the limitations of these claims which recites a nanorod length of 20 to 50 nm.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the super resolution layer, comprising a dielectric material with metal particles dispersed therein, of the optical recording medium taught by Nomura et al. by using rod or sphere shaped nanoparticles with the reasonable expectation of forming a mask layer which exhibits high light scattering efficiency and large local field enhancement factors as taught by Sonnichsen et al.

11. Claims 25 and 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nomura et al. 2002-133720 as evidenced by Yamamoto et al. 2003/0152739 in view of Sonnichsen et al. "Drastic Reduction of Plasmon Damping in Gold Nanorods" Physical Review Letters. Volume 88, Number 7, 2002 as applied above and further in view of Perry et al. WO 02/48432(US 2004/0079195).

Nomura et al. does not teach gold nanoparticles embedded in the mask layer.

Further, Nomura et al. does not teach coated nanoparticles where the coating comprises oligonucleotides functionalized on the 5' or 3' end with alkylthiol.

Perry et al. teaches a film containing metal particles in a matrix. The matrix material may be polymer, glass, highly viscous liquid etc. The metal particles can be silver, gold, copper, or iridium nanoparticles with dimensions of from 1 to 200 nm (diameter) coated with organic ligands (WO pgs. 15-16). Nanoparticles are coated with

organic ligands composed of essentially 3 parts A-B-C. A is a molecular or ionic fragment that has at least one atom having a lone pair of electrons that can bond to the metal nanoparticle surface. A can be an alkylthiol group. Part B is an organic fragment that has two points of attachment, one to A and one to C. B can be a single bond. Part C is a molecular fragment with one point of attachment to fragment B. C may be an oligonucleotide strand. The bond is either at the 5' or the 3' end of the oligonucleotide strand(WO pgs 16(bottom) to 17).

Perry et al. also teaches that these coatings can stabilize the nanoparticles with respect to aggregation and or coalescence of the metal core of the particle (page 8, 2nd paragraph).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the super resolution layer, comprising a dielectric material with metal particles dispersed therein, of the optical recording medium taught by Nomura et al. by coating the particles with the coating, comprising an oligonucleotide having an alkylthiol group bound to either the 3' or the 5' end, taught by Perry et al. at (WO pgs 16(bottom) to 17) with the reasonable expectation of forming a film whose metal nanoparticles are stabilized with respect to aggregation and or coalescence of the metal core of the particle(page 8, 2nd paragraph). Further, it would have been obvious to use gold nanoparticles based on the disclosure of Perry et al.

In regard to claim 28 which claims that the nanoparticles embedded in the mask layer comprise vertically aligned nanoparticles, the applicant has the burden of distinguishing their invention from that disclosed in the prior art or establishing the

Application/Control Number: 10/814,697 Page 14

Art Unit: 1795

criticality of vertically aligned nanoparticles. The figure on the front of Perry et al.(US2004/0079195) shows vertically aligned nanoparticles.

Response to Arguments

12. The applicant's main argument is that the materials used in the masking layer are not nonlinear materials, and that the addition of nanoparticles to the material is what causes the material to exhibit non-linear properties. The teachings of Arnone et al. and Yamamoto et al. are used to show that at least SiO₂ and ZnS exhibit non-linear optical properties. Applicant has the burden of distinguishing their invention from the prior art.

The applicant broadly recites the use of organic materials as the non-linear optical material of the masking layer. Applicant has the burden, if in disagreement, to show that a the organic resins recited by lida do not exhibit non-linear optical properties. The applicant's disclosure regarding acceptable materials for use as the non-linear optical material of the masking layer is extremely vague. Disclosure of specific materials or addition of inventive examples would help to make the specification clearer and would have helped in the examination of this application. However, addition of such specific materials would be considered new matter.

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

-Sun et al. "Increased sensitivity of Surface Plasmon Resonance of Gold Nanoshells compared to that of Gold Solid Colloids in Response to Environmental changes"

<u>Analytical Chemistry</u>. Vol. 74, No. 20, October 15, 2002.- Sun et al. discloses use of gold nanoshells and the benefits as shown in Figure 5.

- 14. The teachings of Arnone et al. and Yamamoto et al. are used solely to establish that SiO₂ and ZnS exhibit non-linear optical properties. Further, the rejection presented at paragraphs 10 and 11 have been changed due to rejection of dependent claim 28, over Nomura et al. 2002-133720 as evidenced by Yamamoto et al. in view of Perry et al. WO 02/48432, without rejecting claim 26 upon which claim 28 is dependent. The action is still final because the examiner still relies upon the same teachings and the argument given for combination of the references is unchanged.
- 15. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/814,697 Page 16

Art Unit: 1795

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anna L. Verderame whose telephone number is (571)272-6420. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8A-4:30P.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on (571)272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ALV

MARK F. HUFF SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700